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1. Introduction

Diabetes Mellitus is a metabolic disturbance characterised by hyperglycaemia and a relative lack, or complete absence of insulin (1). It is a disease, which by virtue of its complications may affect all organ systems in the body. Prevention, timely diagnosis, and treatment are important in patients with diabetes mellitus. Many of the complications associated with diabetes, such as nephropathy, retinopathy, neuropathy, cardiovascular disease, stroke, and death, can be delayed or prevented with appropriate treatment of elevated blood pressure, lipids, and blood glucose (2).

Diabetes mellitus and lesser forms of glucose intolerance, particularly impaired glucose tolerance, can now be found in almost every population in the world and epidemiological evidence suggests that, without effective prevention and control programmes, diabetes will likely continue to increase globally (3, 4). In 2010, about 285 million people in the age group 20-79 were envisaged to have diabetes worldwide, about 70% of who live in developing nations. This estimate is expected to increase to about 438 million, by 2030 (5-8). Further, by 2030, the number of people with IGT is projected to increase to 472 million, or 8.4% of the adult population. The debilitating effects of diabetes mellitus include various organ failures, progressive metabolic complications such as retinopathy, nephropathy, and/or neuropathy (9-11). Diabetics are accompanied by risk of cardiovascular, peripheral vascular and cerebrovascular diseases. Several pathogenetic processes are involved in the development of diabetes, including destruction of pancreatic β-cells that lead to lowered sensitivity of insulin action (12-15).

Estimated total annual cost associated with diabetes in Latin America and the Caribbean to be US$65.216 billion. With a prevalence of 200,000 type 1 diabetics in India, estimated that the cost of treatment could be as high as US$50 million (16). According to American Diabetes Association, the combined direct and indirect costs of diabetes in 1997 were estimated at US$98 billion in the United States of America. The estimated total direct cost of diabetes in Spain is over US$650 million where there were over 1.4 million known diabetics in 1994 (Hart et al., 1997). In England and Wales, the estimated cost of type 1 diabetes is US$1.92 million (Gray and Fenn, 1995). Unfortunately, there is a dearth of similar evidence for the WHO African Region. The growing burden of diabetes and other noncommunicable diseases is one of the major health challenges to economic
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developments bedeviling WHO African Region states. As Kirigia et al. (2009) indicate, the effectiveness of prevention and control of those illnesses rely largely on the performance of health systems, functions of leadership and governance; health workforce; medical products, vaccines and technologies; information; financing; and services delivery (17).

Table 1 Most common cause of Monogenic Diabetes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Inheritance</th>
<th>Clinical features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MODY</td>
<td>GCK</td>
<td>AD GCK-MODY: stable, nonprogressive elevated fasting blood glucose; typically does not require treatment; microvascular complications are rare; small rise in 2-h PG level on OGTT(&lt;54 mg/dL [3mmol/L])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HNF1A</td>
<td>AD HNF1A-MODY: progressive insulin secretory defect with presentation in adolescence or early adulthood; lowered renal threshold for glucosuria; large rise in 2-h PG level on OGTT (&gt;90 mg/dL [5 mmol/L]); sensitive to sulfonylureas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HNF4A</td>
<td>AD HNF4A-MODY: progressive insulin secretory defect with presentation in adolescence or early adulthood; may have large birth weight and transient neonatal hypoglycemia; sensitive to sulfonylureas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HNF1B</td>
<td>AD HNF1B-MODY: developmental renal disease (typically cystic); genitourinary abnormalities; atrophy of the pancreas; hyperuricemia; gout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neonatal diabetes</td>
<td>KCNJ11</td>
<td>AD Permanent or transient: IUGR; possible developmental delay and seizures; responsive to sulfonylureas Permanent: IUGR; insulin requiring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INS</td>
<td>AD Transient or permanent: IUGR; rarely developmental delay; responsive to sulfonylureas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Materials and Methods

The research methodology adopted for the study is discussed. The methodology of the research includes the research design, description of the setting, population, sample, sampling technique and development of the tool, procedure for data collection and plan for data analysis. Efforts for improved criteria for diagnosis & classification started a decade before Von Mering & Minkowski's work.

Urine Tests

Urine tests are undertaken to analyse ketones bodies, glucose and proteins in the urine. The colorimetric reaction that occurs between ketones and nitroprusside (Sodium nitroferricyanide) is the method used for the rapid semiquantitative measurements of ketones (18).

Maturity-Onset Diabetes of the Young

Maturity Onset Diabetes of the Young (MODY), represents a rare cause of diabetes (1% of all cases), commonly misdiagnosed as Type 1 Diabetes (T1D) or Type 2 Diabetes (T2D). Clinical characteristics of MODY include age of onset before 45 years, absence of beta-cell autoimmunity and features of metabolic syndrome, sustained endogenous insulin production and strong family history. Common reasons for misdiagnosis are limitations in physicians' awareness and restrictions in performing genetic testing. In an attempt to improve diagnosis rates, recent research efforts have focused on the discovery of non-genetic biomarkers for prioritising...
individuals for genetic testing, with some promising progress (identification of high-sensitivity CRP, plasma glycan profile as HNF1A-MODY).

**Post-Transplantation Diabetes Mellitus**

Post-transplant diabetes mellitus (PTDM) is common after most types of solid organ transplantation, though the actual incidence is as yet unknown because of the use of different diagnostic criteria. PTDM is the result of individual risk factors as well as risk factors associated with the transplant itself, particularly immunosuppressants. Previously called New Onset Diabetes, in many cases inadequate screening for diabetes before transplant cannot assure that the diabetes is new after transplant. The most recent international consensus guidelines suggest diagnosis should be delayed until the patient is taking maintenance doses of immunosuppressants even if they require treatment in the immediate hospitalization.

**3. Results and Discussion**

**Neonatal Diabetes**

We enrolled 32 patients diagnosed with diabetes before 6 months of age and 45 patients diagnosed between 6 and 12 months. We identified a mutation in *KCNJ11* in 14 patients from 12 families and in *INS* in 7 patients from 4 families. Three of the patients with an *INS* mutation were diagnosed with diabetes between 6 and 12 months of age. Finally, we found that two patients had an abnormality of chromosome 6q24 associated with transient neonatal diabetes mellitus.

**Maturity-Onset Diabetes of the Young**

Patients are generally asymptomatic and are diagnosed incidentally or as part of screening for diabetes, e.g., pregnancy. In pediatric populations, GCK-MODY was found to represent 40-50% of cases of asymptomatic or incidental hyperglycemia. It is inherited in an autosomal dominant pattern with abnormalities in at least 13 genes on different chromosomes identified to date. The most commonly reported forms are GCK-MODY (MODY2), HNF1A-MODY (MODY3), and HNF4A-MODY (MODY1). Clinically, patients with GCK-MODY exhibit mild, stable, fasting hyperglycemia and do not require antihyperglycemic therapy except sometimes during pregnancy. Patients with HNF1A- or HNF4A-MODY usually respond well to low doses of sulfonylureas, which are considered first-line therapy. Mutations or deletions in HNF1B are associated with renal cysts and uterine malformations (renal cysts and diabetes [RCAD] syndrome). Other extremely rare forms of MODY have been reported to involve other transcription factor genes including PDX1 (IPF1) and NEUROD1.
The clinical presentations of the different MODY subtypes differ, particularly in the severity and the course of the insulin secretion defect, the risk of microvascular complications of diabetes, and the defects associated with diabetes. Patients with MODY2 have mild, asymptomatic, and stable hyperglycemia that is present from birth. They rarely develop microvascular disease, and seldom require pharmacologic treatment of hyperglycemia. In patients with MODY3, severe hyperglycemia usually occurs after puberty, and may lead to the diagnosis of type 1 diabetes. Despite the progression of insulin defects, sensitivity to sulfonylureas may be retained in MODY3 patients. Diabetic retinopathy and nephropathy frequently occur in patients with MODY3, making frequent follow-up mandatory. By contrast, other risk factors are not present in patients with MODY and the frequency of cardiovascular disease is not increased. The clinical spectrum of MODY is wider than initially described, and might include multi-organ involvement in addition to diabetes. In patients with MODY5, due to mutations in hepatocyte nuclear factor-1beta, diabetes is associated with pancreatic atrophy, renal morphologic and functional abnormalities, and genital tract and liver test abnormalities. Although MODY is dominantly inherited, penetrance or expression of the disease may vary and a family history of diabetes is not always present. Thus, the diagnosis of MODY should be raised in various clinical circumstances. Molecular diagnosis has important consequences in terms of prognosis, family screening, and therapy.

Post-Transplantation Diabetes Mellitus

Currently, oGTT is considered as a golden standard for the diagnostics of PTDM. In the event of applying oGTT, the incidence of PTDM is significantly higher compared to the postprandial monitoring of glycaemia or applying HbA1c. oGTT allows diagnosing the disorder of glucose tolerance, which is a significant risk factor for development of PTDM

Criteria for diagnosis follow those of the American Diabetes Association and the World Health Organization, although hemoglobin A1C should not be used as the only screening test at least until one year after transplant because of its insensitivity for significant glucose intolerance in the transplant patient and setting. Management of PTDM is best done in a team setting, with an emphasis on glycemic control, dyslipidemia, and hypertension, and taking into consideration immunosuppressant regimens and potential drug side effects and interactions. While PTDM has been associated with changes in outcomes, these have and may continue to improve with improved diabetes care in and out of the hospital, and other changes in post-transplant care.

The OGTT is considered the gold standard test for the diagnosis of PTDM. However, screening patients using fasting glucose and/or A1C can identify high-risk patients requiring further assessment and may reduce the number of overall OGTTs required.

There is currently a lack of clinical data examining the use of anti-diabetes agents in the setting of PTDM to inform specific recommendations for use in this population. Although the use of immunosuppressive therapies is a major contributor to the development of PTDM, the risks of transplant rejection outweigh the risks of PTDM and the role of the diabetes care provider is to treat hyperglycemia appropriately regardless of the type of immunosuppression.

Cystic Fibrosis–Related Diabetes

CFRD mortality has significantly decreased over time, and the gap in mortality between cystic fibrosis patients with and without diabetes has considerably narrowed. There are limited clinical trial data on therapy for CFRD. The largest study compared three regimens: prameal insulin as part, repaglinide, or oral placebo in cystic fibrosis patients with diabetes or abnormal glucose tolerance. Participants all had weight loss in the year preceding treatment; however, in the insulin-treated group, this pattern was reversed, and patients gained 0.39 (6 0.21) BMI units (P 5 0.02). The repaglinide-treated group had initial weight gain, but this was not sustained by 6 months. The placebo group continued to lose weight. Insulin remains the most widely used therapy for CFRD.

Recommendations for the clinical management of CFRD can be found in the ADA position statement “Clinical Care Guidelines for
Cystic Fibrosis–Related Diabetes: A Position Statement of the American Diabetes Association and a Clinical Practice Guideline of the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation, Endorsed by the Pediatric Endocrine Society”.

4. Conclusion

The diagnostic criterion for the diagnosis of diabetes mellitus has been undergoing review globally. The American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the World Health Organization (WHO) have always released differing recommendations regarding the criteria for diagnosis of diabetes mellitus. For instance, in 2003 the ADA modified its recommendations resulting in discrepancies between its recommendations and those of the WHO.

We were able to establish a genetic cause of diabetes in 63% of patients diagnosed with diabetes before 6 months of age and in 7% of patients diagnosed between 6 and 12 months. Genetic testing, which is critical for guiding appropriate management, should be considered in patients diagnosed with diabetes before 1 yr of age, especially if they are autoantibody negative, although the presence of autoantibodies does not rule out a monogenic cause.

Overall, the practical implications of these reports for clinical practice are that the diagnosis of diabetes in people with classic symptoms should be established with a random plasma glucose concentration of >11.1 mmol/l, preferably repeated or confirmed by a raised fasting glucose value on a subsequent day. In less clear cases the diagnosis can be established with a fasting plasma glucose of >7.0 mmol/l, again repeated on a different occasion. Although the American Diabetes Association report was published as the final findings of its expert committee, the paper from the WHO is labelled as a provisional report.

Clinicians managing patients with diabetes need to have an understanding of the individual patient’s pathophysiology that underpins their classification as this will significantly help in the clinical management and risk stratification for diabetes chronic vascular complications.
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